1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
10						
11		Case No. CV				
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER SETTING SCHEDULING CONFERENCE				
13	v.	DATE:				
14	Defendants.	TIME: 1:30 P.M.				
15						
16	READ THIS ORDER CAREFULLY. IT DIFFERS IN SOME RESPECTS					
17	FROM THE LOCAL RULES.					
18	This case has been assigned to Judge Beverly Reid O'Connell. This matter is					
19	set for a scheduling conference on the above date. If plaintiff has not already served					
	1					

This case has been assigned to Judge Beverly Reid O'Connell. This matter is set for a scheduling conference on the above date. If plaintiff has not already served the operative complaint on all defendants, plaintiff shall promptly do so and shall file proofs of service within three days thereafter. Defendants also shall timely serve and file their responsive pleadings and file proofs of service within three days thereafter. For record-keeping purposes, the Court will dismiss all remaining fictitiously-named defendants (i.e., those who have not been named) at the scheduling conference.

The conference will be held pursuant to Rule 16(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (hereafter referred to as "Rule 26"). The parties are reminded of their obligations under Rule 26(a)(1) to disclose information (without awaiting a discovery

1 re
2 be
3 nc
4 26
5 Sp
6 m
7 of
8 cc
9 cc

request), and under Rule 26(f) to confer on a discovery plan not later than 21 days before the scheduling conference and to file a "Joint Rule 26(f) Report" with the Court not later than 14 days after the conference. Paper Chambers copies of the Joint Rule 26(f) Report must be delivered to Judge Reid O'Connell's chambers box on the Spring Street entrance of the Spring Street Federal Building. Paper Chambers copies must be "blue-backed," with the title of the document on the lower right hand corner of the "blue-back," and must be "two-hole punched" at the top. Paper Chambers copies must be submitted no later than noon on the day after the e-filing. Failure to comply with the following requirements or to cooperate in the preparation of the Joint Rule 26(f) Report may lead to the imposition of sanctions.

The Court encourages counsel to agree to begin to conduct discovery actively before the Scheduling Conference. At the very least, the parties shall comply fully with the letter and spirit of Rule 26(a) and thereby obtain and produce most of what would be produced in the early stage of discovery, because at the Scheduling Conference the Court will impose strict deadlines to complete discovery.

This Court does not exempt parties appearing in propria persona from compliance with any of the Local Rules, including Local Rule 16. "Counsel," as used in this order, includes parties appearing in propria persona.

1. Joint Rule 26(f) Report

The Joint Rule 26(f) Report, which shall be filed not later than 7 days before the scheduling conference, shall be drafted by plaintiff (unless the parties agree otherwise), but shall be submitted and signed jointly. "Jointly" contemplates a single report, regardless of how many separately-represented parties there are. The Joint Rule 26(f) Report shall specify the date of the scheduling conference on the caption page. It shall report on all matters described below, which include those required to be discussed by Rule 26(f) and Local Rule 26:

a. Statement of the case: a short synopsis (not to exceed two pages) of the main claims, counterclaims, and affirmative defenses.

Updated: August, 2013

- b. Subject matter jurisdiction: a statement of the specific basis of federal jurisdiction, including supplemental jurisdiction.
- c. Legal issues: a brief description of the key legal issues, including any unusual substantive, procedural, or evidentiary issues.
- d. Parties, evidence, etc.: a list of parties, percipient witnesses, and key documents on the main issues in the case. For conflict purposes, corporate parties must identify all subsidiaries, parents, and affiliates.
 - e. Damages: the realistic range of provable damages.
- f. Insurance: whether there is insurance coverage, the extent of coverage, and whether there is a reservation of rights.
- g. Motions: a statement of the likelihood of motions seeking to add other parties or claims, file amended pleadings, transfer venue, etc.
- h. Manual for Complex Litigation: whether all or part of the procedures of the Manual for Complex Litigation should be utilized.
- i. Status of Discovery: a discussion of the present state of discovery, including a summary of completed discovery.
- j. Discovery Plan: a detailed discovery plan, as contemplated by Rule 26(f), including the identity of all anticipated deponents and dates by which their depositions are to be completed (if possible), anticipated written discovery requests, including requests for admission, document requests, and interrogatories, and a schedule for completion of all discovery. State what, if any, changes in the disclosures under Rule 26(a) should be made, the subjects on which discovery may be needed and whether discovery should be conducted in phases or otherwise be limited, whether applicable limitations should be changed or other limitations imposed, and whether the Court should enter other orders. A statement that discovery will be conducted as to all claims and defenses, or other vague description, is not acceptable.
- k. Discovery cut-off: a proposed discovery cut-off date. N.B. This means the final day for completion of discovery, including resolution of all discovery

- 1. Expert discovery: whether expert witnesses are contrempleted, proposed dates for expert witness disclosures (initial and rebuttal) and expert discovery cut-off under Rule 26(a)(2).
- m. Dispositive motions: a description of the issues or claims that any party believes may be determined by motion for summary judgment or motion in limine.
- n. Settlement/Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR): a statement of what settlement discussions or written communications have occurred (excluding any statement of the terms discussed) and a statement selecting one of the three ADR Procedures specified in Local Rule 16-15.4 and indicating when the ADR session should occur. Note: If counsel have received a Notice to Parties of Court-Directed ADR Program (form ADR-08), the case presumptively will be referred to the Court Mediation Panel or private mediation (at the parties' expense). No case will proceed to trial unless all parties, including an officer (with full authority to settle the case) of all corporate parties, have appeared personally at an ADR proceeding.
- o. Trial estimate: a realistic estimate of the time required for trial and whether trial will be by jury or by court. Each side should specify (by number, not by name) how many witnesses it contemplates calling. If the time estimate for trial given in the Joint Rule 26(f) Report exceeds four court days, counsel shall be prepared to discuss in detail the estimate.
 - p. Trial counsel: the name(s) of the attorney(s) who will try the case.
- q. Independent Expert or Master: whether this is a case where the Court should consider appointing a master pursuant to Rule 53 or an independent scientific expert. (The appointment of a master may be especially appropriate if there are likely to be substantial discovery disputes, numerous claims to be construed in connection with a summary judgment motion, a lengthy Daubert hearing, a resolution of a difficult computation of damages, etc.)
 - r. Timetable: complete the Schedule of Pretrial and Trial Dates form

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

attached as Exhibit A to this Order and attach it to the Joint Rule 26(f) Report. The entries in the "Weeks Before Trial" column reflect what the Court believes are appropriate for most cases and will allow the Court to rule on potentially dispositive motions sufficiently in advance of the pretrial conference. The form is designed to enable counsel to ask the Court to set different (earlier) last dates by which the key requirements must be completed. Each side should write in the month, day, and year it requests for each event. E.g., for the expert discovery cut-off it might be "05/13/13" for plaintiff and "05/20/13" for defendant, if they cannot agree. At the conference, the Court will review this form with counsel. Each entry proposing Court dates shall fall on a Monday, except the trial date, which is a Tuesday. Counsel should ensure that requested dates do not fall on a holiday. In appropriate cases the Court will order different dates after it hears from counsel. The discovery cut-off date is the last day by which all depositions must be completed, responses to previously-served written discovery must be provided, and motions concerning discovery disputes must be heard. The cut-off date for motions is the last date on which motions may be heard, not filed. The Court is not likely to continue this date, and will not do so unless the trial date is also continued.

s. Other issues: a statement of any other issues affecting the status or management of the case (e.g., unusually complicated technical or technological issues, disputes over protective orders, extraordinarily voluminous document production, non-English speaking witnesses, ADA-related issues, discovery in foreign jurisdictions, etc.) and any proposals concerning severance, bifurcation, or other ordering of proof.

The Joint Rule 26(f) Report should set forth the above-described information under section headings corresponding to those in this Order.

2. Scheduling Conference

Scheduling conferences will be held in the Spring Street Federal Building, Courtroom 14, 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, California. Counsel shall comply with the following:

- a. Participation: The lead trial attorney must attend the scheduling conference, unless excused by the Court for good cause shown in advance of the scheduling conference. When seeking permission not to attend, lead counsel must identify the person who will appear by name and bar number, and specify that person's involvement in the case. The Court may choose to postpone the scheduling conference rather than to permit counsel other than lead counsel to attend. Local counsel may not appear at a scheduling conference in place of lead counsel. Counsel should not purport to be "co-lead" counsel as a means of avoiding this requirement.
- b. Continuance: A continuance of the scheduling conference will be granted only for good cause.
 - 3. Notice to be Provided by Counsel

Plaintiff's counsel or, if plaintiff is appearing pro se, defendant's counsel, shall provide this Order to any parties who first appear after the date of this Order and to parties who are known to exist but have not yet entered appearances.

4. Disclosures to Clients

Counsel are ordered to deliver to their respective clients a copy of this Order and of the Court's trial order, which will contain the schedule that the Court sets at the scheduling conference.

5. Court's Website

Copies of this and all other orders of this Court that may become applicable to this case are available on the Central District of California website, at www.cacd.uscourts.gov, under "Judge's Procedures and Schedules." Copies of the Local Rules are available on the website.

The Court thanks the parties and their counsel for their anticipated cooperation in complying with these requirements.

Caveat: If counsel fail to file the required Joint Rule 26(f) Report, or the

Updated: August, 2013

1	required pretrial documents, or if counsel fail to appear at the scheduling conference,			
2	the pretrial conference, or any other proceeding scheduled by the Court, and such			
3	failure is not satisfactorily explained to the Court: (a) the cause shall be dismissed for			
4	failure to prosecute, if such failure occurs on the part of the plaintiff; (b) default (and			
5	thereafter default judgment) shall be entered if such failure occurs on the part of the			
6	defendant; or (c) the Court may take such other action as it deems appropriate.			
7	IT IS SO ORDERED			
8	Engle 05			
9	Dated:			
10	HONORABLE BEVERLY REID O'CONNELL United States District Court Judge			
11	emica states District Court vaage			
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				

Updated: August, 2013

JUDGE BEVERLY REID O'CONNELL SCHEDULE OF TRIAL AND PRETRIAL DATES

Matter	Time	Weeks before trial	Plaintiff(s) (Request)	Defendant(s) (Request)	Court Order
Trial (jury) (court) Estimated length: days	8:30 am				
[Jury trial] Hearing on Motions in Limine;		-1			
[Court trial] File Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law; Hearing on Motions in Limine		-1			
Hearing on Disputed Jury Instructions	1:30 pm	-2			
Pretrial Conference; Proposed Voir Dire Qs Lodged and file Agreed-to Statement of Case; File Agreed Upon Set of Jury Instructions and Verdict Forms; File Joint Statement re Disputed Instructions, Verdicts, etc.	3:00 pm	-4			
Motions in Limine to be filed;		-5			
Lodge Pretrial Conf. Order; File Memo of Contentions of Fact and Law; Exhibit & Witness Lists; File Status Report re Settlement; File Agreed Upon Set of Jury Instructions and Verdict Forms; File Joint Statement re Disputed Instructions, Verdicts, etc.		-6			
Last date to file Joint Report regarding ADR proceeding		-7			
Last date to conduct ADR Proceedings		-8			
Last day for hearing motions	1:30 pm	-9			
Discovery cut-off [Note: Expert disclosure no later than 70 days prior to this date.]		-10			
Last to Amend Pleadings or Add Parties					