**CIVIL JURY INSTRUCTIONS** 

(BEFORE CLOSING ARGUMENTS)

Members of the jury: You have heard the evidence. Now I will instruct you, and next you

will hear final arguments of counsel. The Court and the jury have separate functions: you decide

the disputed facts, and the Court provides the instructions of law. It is your sworn duty to accept

these instructions and to apply the law as it is given to you. You are not permitted to change the law

or to apply your own concept of what you think the law should be.

**Equality Under The Law** 

In deciding the facts of this case, you must not be swayed by bias or prejudice or favor as

to either party. Our system of law does not permit jurors to be governed by prejudice or sympathy

or public opinion. Both the parties and the public expect that you will carefully and impartially

consider all the evidence in the case, follow the law as stated by the Court, and reach a just verdict

based on the evidence.

This case should be considered and decided by you as an action between persons of equal

standing in the community, and holding the same or similar stations in life. A company is entitled

to the same fair trial at your hands as is a private person. Individuals and corporations stand equal

before the law and are to be dealt with as equal in a court of justice.

Judge Jack Zouhary United States District Court Northern District of Ohio

Rev. 5/2012

**Burden of Proof** 

The person who claims certain facts exist must prove them by a greater weight of the

evidence. This duty is known as the burden of proof. The burden of proof here is on Plaintiff to

prove the facts necessary for his damage claims by the greater weight, or preponderance, of the

evidence.

**Greater Weight of the Evidence** 

The greater weight of the evidence is evidence that you believe because it outweighs or

overbalances in your mind the evidence opposed to it. This means the evidence is more probable,

more persuasive, or of greater probative value. It is the quality of the evidence that must be

weighed. Quality may, or may not, be identical with quantity or the greater number of witnesses.

In determining whether an issue has been proved by a greater weight of the evidence, you should

consider all the evidence, regardless of who produced it.

If the weight of the evidence is equally balanced, or if you are unable to determine which

side of an issue has the preponderance, the party who has the burden of proof has not established

such issue by the greater weight of the evidence.

Judge Jack Zouhary United States District Court Northern District of Ohio

Rev. 5/2012

**Evidence** 

Evidence is all the testimony received from the witnesses, any exhibits admitted during the

trial, and any facts stipulated by counsel. You must make your decision based only on the evidence

you saw and heard here in Court. Do not let rumors, suspicions, or anything else you may have seen

or heard outside this Court influence your decision in any way.

Evidence may be direct or circumstantial, or both.

Direct evidence is the testimony given by a witness who has seen or heard the facts to which

he or she testifies. It includes exhibits admitted into evidence during the trial.

Evidence may also be used to prove a fact by inference. This is referred to as circumstantial

evidence. "Circumstantial evidence" is the proof of facts by direct evidence from which you may

infer other reasonable facts or conclusions.

If a witness testified that he saw it raining outside, and you believed him, that would be direct

evidence it was raining. If someone walked into the courtroom wearing a raincoat covered with

drops of water and carrying a wet umbrella, that would be circumstantial evidence from which you

could conclude it was raining.

You may infer a fact or facts only from other facts that have been proven by the greater

weight of the evidence. You may not make one inference from another inference, but you may draw

more than one inference from the same facts or circumstances. Direct evidence and circumstantial

evidence are of equal weight.

Judge Jack Zouhary United States District Court Northern District of Ohio

Rev. 5/2012

**Matters Not Evidence** 

Evidence does not include the formal papers filed on the Court docket or any statement of

counsel made during the trial. The opening statements and the closing arguments of counsel are

designed to assist you; they are not evidence.

Statements or answers ordered stricken, or to which the Court sustained an objection, or that

you were instructed to disregard are not evidence and must be treated as though you never heard

them.

You must not guess why the Court sustained the objection to any question or what the

answer to such question might have been. You must not consider as evidence any suggestion

included in a question that was not answered.

Credibility

You are the judges of the facts, the credibility of the witnesses, and the weight of the

evidence. To weigh the evidence, you must consider the credibility or believability of the witnesses.

You will use the tests of truthfulness that you use in your daily lives. These tests include the

appearance of each witness upon the stand; his or her manner of testifying; the reasonableness of

the testimony; the opportunity he or she had to see, hear and know the things concerning which he

or she testified; his or her accuracy of memory; frankness or lack of it; intelligence, interest and bias,

if any; together with all the facts and circumstances surrounding the testimony. Use these tests and

assign to each witness' testimony such weight as you think proper.

Judge Jack Zouhary United States District Court Northern District of Ohio

Rev. 5/2012

You are not required to believe the testimony of any witness simply because he or she was

under oath. You may believe or disbelieve all or any part of the testimony of any witness. It is your

duty to determine what testimony to believe and what testimony not to believe.

Some guides for evaluating the testimony include:

• Was the witness able to clearly see, hear or understand the events?

• How good was the witness' memory?

• Was there anything that may have interfered with the witness' ability to

perceive or remember the events?

How did the witness act while testifying?

• Did the witness have any relationship to either party, or anything to gain or

lose from the case, that might influence his/her testimony?

• Was the testimony supported or contradicted by other evidence that you

found believable?

• Was the testimony consistent with prior sworn statements?

**Depositions** 

Some testimony was presented by way of video deposition. This evidence is to be

considered by you the same as if the witness testified live in the courtroom.

Also, if prior sworn statements differ from testimony given in the courtroom by the same

witness, you may consider the difference to test the credibility of that witness.

Judge Jack Zouhary United States District Court Northern District of Ohio

Rev. 5/2012

**Exhibits** 

A number of exhibits and testimony relating to them have been introduced. You will

determine what weight, if any, the exhibits should receive in light of all the evidence, no matter who

produced the exhibit. The numbering or lettering of the exhibits that you take to the jury room may

not follow consecutively. There are several reasons for this. Some exhibits may not have been

offered, some may be duplicates, or the Court may have rejected the exhibit because of a legal or

other ruling. Do not guess or draw any inference because you do not have a particular numbered

exhibit.

**Opinion Testimony** 

In this case, you heard [medical/engineering/accounting] opinion testimony. Such opinions

are allowed by the Court if the witness, through study or experience, or both, has acquired skill that

makes him or her better qualified than the average person to form an opinion.

However, it is for you, as triers of fact, to determine whether such opinion testimony is

worthy of belief, considering the witness' relative qualifications, credibility, and believability. If

you should decide that the opinion of a witness is not based on sufficient education or experience,

or if you should conclude the reasons given in support are inadequate, or if you feel that the

testimony is outweighed by other evidence, you may weigh the opinion accordingly or disregard the

opinion entirely.

Judge Jack Zouhary United States District Court Northern District of Ohio

Rev. 5/2012

Some witnesses may have given opinions based on the testimony of other witnesses in the

case, or based on assumed facts. It is for you to determine whether or not the assumed facts do

apply, and to determine the effect or value of the answer in light of any facts that do not apply. In

short, it is for you, as jurors, to determine whether the facts, on which any such opinion is based,

have been established. The value of any opinion is no stronger than the facts on which it was based.

Remember that you alone decide how much of a witness' testimony to believe, and how

much weight it deserves.

\* \* \*

This concludes the general instructions on certain preliminary matters -- including the burden

of proof, evidence, and the credibility of witnesses. I will now give you the instructions of law on

the specific issues in this case.

\* \* \*

**Verdict Form and Questions** 

I will now walk you through the Jury Questions and then the Verdict forms.

Judge Jack Zouhary United States District Court Northern District of Ohio

Rev. 5/2012