CRIMINAL JURY INSTRUCTIONS

(BEFORE CLOSING ARGUMENTS)

Members of the jury: You have heard the evidence. Now I will instruct you, and next you

will hear the final arguments of counsel. The Court and the jury have separate functions: you

decide the disputed facts, and the Court provides the instructions of law. It is your sworn duty to

accept these instructions and to apply the law as it is given to you. You are not permitted to change

the law or to apply your own concept of what you think the law should be.

Indictment

A criminal case begins with the filing of an Indictment. The Indictment informs Defendant

he has been charged with an offense. The fact it was filed may not be considered for any other

purpose. A plea of "not guilty" is a denial of the charge and puts in issue all the essential elements

of each offense charged.

The Indictment in this case charges the offense was committed "on or about" a certain date.

The proof need not establish with certainty the exact dates of the alleged crime. It is sufficient if the

evidence in the case establishes beyond a reasonable doubt the crime was committed on dates

reasonably near the alleged dates.

Judge Jack Zouhary United States District Court Northern District of Ohio

Rev. 5/2012

Your job is limited to deciding whether the Government has proved the crime charged

against this Defendant. Whether anyone else should be prosecuted and convicted for these crimes

is not a proper matter for you to consider. The possible guilt of others is no defense to a criminal

charge. Do not let the possible guilt of others influence your decision in any way.

Burden of Proof and Reasonable Doubt

Defendant pled not guilty to the crime charged in the Indictment. Therefore, he starts the

trial with a clean slate, with no evidence at all against him, and the law presumes that he is innocent.

This presumption of innocence stays with him unless the Government presents evidence, here in

Court, that overcomes the presumption and convinces you beyond a reasonable doubt that he is

guilty.

This means Defendant has no obligation to present any evidence at all, or to prove to you in

any way he is innocent. It is up to the Government to prove he is guilty, and this burden stays on

the Government from start to finish. You must find Defendant not guilty unless the Government

convinces you beyond a reasonable doubt that he is guilty.

The Government must prove every element of the crime charged beyond a reasonable doubt.

Proof beyond a reasonable doubt does not mean proof beyond all possible doubt. Possible doubts

or doubts based purely on speculation are not reasonable doubts. A reasonable doubt is a doubt

based on reason and common sense. It may arise from the evidence, the lack of evidence, or the

nature of the evidence.

Judge Jack Zouhary United States District Court Northern District of Ohio

Rev. 5/2012

Proof beyond a reasonable doubt means proof which is so convincing you would not hesitate

to rely and act on it in making the most important decisions in your own lives. If you are convinced

the Government has proved Defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, say so by returning a guilty

verdict. If you are not convinced, say so by returning a not guilty verdict.

Number and Availability of Witnesses

Do not make any decisions based solely on the number of witnesses who testified. What

is important is how believable the witnesses were, and how much weight you think their testimony

deserves. Concentrate on that, not the numbers.

Evidence

Evidence is all the testimony received from the witnesses, any exhibits admitted during the

trial, and any facts stipulated by counsel. You must make your decision based only on the evidence

you saw and heard here in Court. Do not let rumors, suspicions, or anything else you may have seen

or heard outside this Court influence your decision in any way.

Evidence may be direct or circumstantial, or both.

"Direct evidence" is the testimony given by a witness who has seen or heard the facts to

which he or she testifies. It includes exhibits admitted into evidence during the trial.

Evidence may also be used to prove a fact by inference. This is referred to as circumstantial

evidence. "Circumstantial evidence" is the proof of facts by direct evidence from which you may

infer other reasonable facts or conclusions.

Judge Jack Zouhary United States District Court Northern District of Ohio

Rev. 5/2012

If a witness testified he saw it raining outside, and you believed him, that would be direct

evidence it was raining. If someone walked into the courtroom wearing a raincoat covered with

drops of water and carrying a wet umbrella, that would be circumstantial evidence from which you

could conclude it was raining.

You may not make one inference from another inference, but you may draw more than one

inference from the same facts or circumstances.

Direct evidence and circumstantial evidence inherently possess the same probative value,

and both must be measured by the same standard of proof -- that is, proof beyond a reasonable

doubt.

Inconsistent Statements by a Witness

You have heard evidence that a witness may have made statements before this trial that may

be inconsistent with his or her testimony here in Court. If you find the statements are inconsistent,

you may consider the earlier statement in deciding the truthfulness and accuracy of that witness'

testimony in this trial. If the prior statement was not made under oath, you may not use it as

evidence of the truth of the matters contained in that prior statement. However, if that statement was

made under oath, you may also consider it as evidence of the truth of the matters contained in that

prior sworn statement.

Judge Jack Zouhary United States District Court Northern District of Ohio

Rev. 5/2012

Exhibits

A number of exhibits and testimony relating to them have been introduced. You will

determine what weight, if any, the exhibits should receive in light of all the evidence, no matter who

produced the exhibit. The numbering or lettering of the exhibits that you take to the jury room may

not follow consecutively. There are several reasons for this. Some exhibits may not have been

offered, some may be duplicates, or the Court may have rejected the exhibit because of a legal or

other ruling. Do not guess or draw any inference because you do not have a particular numbered

exhibit.

Matters Not Evidence

The evidence does not include the Indictment, opening statements, or closing arguments of

counsel. The opening statements and closing arguments of counsel are designed to assist you; they

are not evidence.

Statements or answers stricken by the Court or that you were instructed to disregard are not

evidence and must be treated as though you never heard them. You must not speculate as to why

the Court sustained the objection to any question or what the answer to such question might have

been. You must not draw any inference or speculate on the truth of any suggestion included in an

unanswered question.

Judge Jack Zouhary United States District Court Northern District of Ohio

Rev. 5/2012

Credibility

You are the sole judges of the facts, the credibility of the witnesses, and the weight of the

evidence. To weigh the evidence, you must consider the credibility of the witnesses. You will apply

the tests of truthfulness which you apply in your daily lives. These tests include the appearance of

each witness upon the stand; his or her manner of testifying; the reasonableness of the testimony;

the opportunity he or she had to see, hear and know the things concerning which he or she testified;

his or her accuracy of memory; frankness or lack of it; intelligence; interest and bias, if any; together

with all the facts and circumstances surrounding the testimony. Applying these tests, you will assign

to the testimony of each witness such weight as you deem proper.

You are free to believe everything a witness said, or only part of it, or none of it at all. Some

guides for evaluating the testimony include:

• Was the witness able to clearly see or hear the events?

• How good was the witness' memory?

• Was there anything that may have interfered with the ability of the witness

to perceive or remember the events?

• How did the witness act while testifying?

Did the witness have any relationship to the Government or Defendant, or

anything to gain or lose from the case, that might influence the witness'

testimony?

• Was the witness' testimony supported or contradicted by other evidence you

found believable?

Judge Jack Zouhary United States District Court Northern District of Ohio

Rev. 5/2012

Testimony of Defendant

Defendant has an absolute right not to testify or present evidence. The fact that he did not testify cannot be considered by you in any way. Do not even discuss it in your deliberations.

Remember that it is up to the Government to prove Defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. It is not up to Defendant to prove that he is innocent.

[OR]

Testimony of Defendant

You have heard the Defendant testify. You should evaluate Defendant's testimony using the same considerations of credibility and believability that you use for any other witness.

* * *

This concludes the general instructions on certain preliminary matters -- including the burden of proof, evidence, and the credibility of witnesses. I will now give you the instructions of law on the specific issues in this case.

* * *

Judge Jack Zouhary United States District Court Northern District of Ohio Rev. 5/2012 **Multiple Crimes**

Defendant has been charged with multiple crimes. The number of charges is not evidence

of guilt, and this should not influence your decision in any way. It is your duty to separately

consider the evidence that relates to each charge, and to return a separate verdict for each one. For

each charge, you must decide whether the Government has presented proof beyond a reasonable

doubt that Defendant is guilty of that particular charge.

Your decision on one charge, whether it is guilty or not guilty, should not influence your

decision on the other charges.

Punishment

If you decide that the Government has proved Defendant guilty, then it will be my job to

decide what the appropriate punishment should be. Deciding what the punishment should be is my

job, not yours. It would violate your oaths as jurors to even consider the possible punishment in

deciding your verdict. Your job is to look at the evidence and decide if the Government has proved

Defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

* * *

I have given you the instructions of law applicable to this case. After closing arguments of

counsel, I will instruct you on how to conduct your deliberations and prepare the General Verdict.

Judge Jack Zouhary United States District Court Northern District of Ohio

Rev. 5/2012