

Legal Update Article

District of Columbia Cannabis Employment Protections Amendment Act Goes Live July 13

07.07.23



Matthew F. Nieman

The District of Columbia is joining the increasing number of jurisdictions providing greater protections for private employees who use marijuana off-duty, during non-work hours. Such development remains in contrast with federal law, which still classifies marijuana as a controlled substance, prohibiting both possession and use of marijuana.

In addition to protections for private employees, the [D.C. Cannabis Employment Protections Amendment Act of 2022](#) (C.E.P.A.A.) imposes new obligations on private employers to inform employees of the new laws. D.C. Law 24-190 §§ 100 et seq.; tentatively D.C. Code §§ 32-921.01 through .08. The C.E.P.A.A. goes into effect July 13, 2023.

Highlights for D.C. Employers

Under C.E.P.A.A., employers will be prohibited from taking personnel actions against an individual for cannabis or marijuana use off-premises during non-work hours.

Employers are permitted to take action related to such use, however, if the employee is designated as safety sensitive, a federal contract or statute prohibits marijuana

use, or the employee used or possessed marijuana at the employer's premises or during work hours.

Drug-Testing

The presence of cannabinoid metabolites in an employer-required or requested drug test may be used to justify adverse action if the employee is impaired by the use of cannabis at the place of employment or during work hours.

Cannabis impairment is exemplified by the employee manifesting specific, articulable symptoms that substantially decreases or lessens the employee's performance of duties or such symptoms interfere with the employer's ability to maintain a safe and healthy workplace. This will alter the availability of pre-employment drug testing for many private employers in the District of Columbia.

Safety Sensitive-Designated Positions

Employers must provide notice to their employees of the new protections within 60 days of July 13 or upon hire.

The notice requirement includes informing employees if their position has been designated as safety sensitive, among other requirements. Safety-sensitive positions are those reasonably foreseeable that, if the employee performs the position under the influence of drugs or alcohol, the person could cause actual, immediate, and serious bodily injury or loss of life to themselves or others. The following are statutory examples of safety sensitive positions:

- (A) Security services such as police or security that involves the custody, handling, or use of weapons;
 - (B) Regular or frequent operation of a motor vehicle or other heavy or dangerous machinery;
 - (C) Regular or frequent work on an active construction site;
 - (D) Regular or frequent work near gas or utility lines;
 - (E) Regular or frequent work involving hazardous material;
 - (F) Supervision of those who reside in an institutional or custodial environment;
- or
- (G) Administration of medication, performance or supervision of surgeries, or other medical treatment requiring professional credentials.

Notice of Reporting Requirements

Employees may report alleged noncompliance with the C.E.P.A.A. within one year to the D.C. Office of Human Rights.

Administrative requirements for recreational and medical marijuana users differ under the new law. Recreational marijuana users are required to exhaust their administrative remedies under the C.E.P.A.A. before bringing private cause of action. Medical marijuana patients are not required to exhaust administrative remedies, but they cannot bring a private cause of action directly to the court if they have initiated an administrative complaint with the D.C. Office of Human Rights alleging the same noncompliance.

Employer Penalties for Noncompliance

If the employer is found to have violated the C.E.P.A.A., the director of the D.C. Office of Human Rights may order the employer to do any of the following:

- Pay civil penalties, half of which awarded to complainant and half deposited to the General Fund of D.C.;
 - o 1–30 employees: up to \$1,000 per violation
 - o 31–99 employees: up to \$2,500 per violation
 - o 100+ employees: up to \$5,000 per violation
- Pay double the civil penalties listed above if the employer is found to be noncompliant in the past year;
- Pay the employee's lost wages;
- Undergo training or any other equitable relief to undo the adverse employment action; and
- Pay reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

In a private cause of action, a court may institute the civil penalties above and:

- Payment of lost wages;
- Payment of compensatory damages;
- Equitable relief as appropriate; and
- Payment of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

D.C. employers should amend their workplace designations and policies in accordance with the changes mandated by the D.C. Cannabis Employment Protections Amendment Act. Jackson Lewis attorneys are happy to assist in navigating the changes required to remain compliant with new laws in the District of Columbia.

(Summer law clerk Heather Kemp contributed significantly to this article.)

©2023 Jackson Lewis P.C. This material is provided for informational purposes only. It is not intended to constitute legal advice nor does it create a client-lawyer relationship between Jackson Lewis and any recipient. Recipients should consult with counsel before taking any actions based on the information contained within this material. This material may be considered attorney advertising in some jurisdictions. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome.

Focused on labor and employment law since 1958, Jackson Lewis P.C.'s 950+ attorneys located in major cities nationwide consistently identify and respond to new ways workplace law intersects business. We help employers develop proactive strategies, strong policies and business-oriented solutions to cultivate high-functioning workforces that are engaged, stable and diverse, and share our clients' goals to emphasize inclusivity and respect for the contribution of every employee. For more information, visit <https://www.jacksonlewis.com>.

See also

Related insights

Legal Update Article

FTC Proposes Amendments to Hart-Scott-Rodino Disclosures, With Changes Focusing on Workplace Issues

07.05.23

Newsletter

Retail Industry Workplace Law Update – Summer 2023

07.05.23



Legal Update Article

How the U.S. Supreme Court's Affirmative Action in Student Admissions Decision Affects Employers

07.05.23

Related services

Practice

Advice and Counsel

Practice

Disability, Leave and Health Management

Practice

Disability, Leave and Health Management > Drug Testing and Substance Abuse Management

Practice

Workplace Safety and Health

[Back to top](#)



[Contact Us](#)

[Disclaimer, Privacy and Copyright](#)

[Accessibility Statement](#)

Jackson Lewis P.C. © 2023.